

I would like to update my email to you from yesterday as additional information is now available regarding the lack of independence of panel members – specifically Professor Boulton. In addition to the points I have already made I now notice that the internet is reporting that not only did Professor Boulton have extensive links with UEA but was also a contributor to the IPCC.

Q1 How does this fit with your assertion that none of the panel members had any links with the IPCC?

In addition, the CV describing Professor Boulton’s scientific record that you presented as part of the introduction of panel member is significantly different to the one he was using until quite recently. On a number of websites Professor Boulton previously described himself as a “contributor to G8 Preparatory Groups and Intergovernmental Panels on climate change”. This CV is available in a number of places and contains information not shown in the version you used, an example can be found here:  
<http://spa.xmu.edu.cn/edit/UploadFile/2007101883249846.doc>

Q2 How does this manipulation of a panel member’s CV fit with your assertion that the panel will be open and transparent in its work?

One of the key objectives of your work is to assure the public of the unbiased approach of all those involved in climate change research. It would appear that before you have taken any evidence you are in danger of failing this key aim.

I would welcome an answer to these specific points and not just a stock reply.

Yours sincerely

John R Smith