

I note in the issues statement that the review is to be of "hacked e-mails." It appears that the weight of direct and circumstantial indicates that the e-mail and other CRU files were leaked. Thus, the contention that the files were obtained by hacking indicates that your panel has prejudged an important issue under review.

I suggest that the review being conducted is biased and the outcomes are predetermined. Surely, the public deserves better.

W F Lenihan