

Questions/Issues for the Team's consideration:

Background:

1) The note originally accompanying the hacked EAU e-mails said:

"FOIA said

November 17, 2009 at 9:57 pm e

We feel that climate science is, in the current situation, too important to be kept under wraps.

We hereby release a random selection of correspondence, code, and documents.

Hopefully it will give some insight into the science and the people behind it."

2) EAU has acknowledged that the hacked e-mails originated from a single in-house server.

Questions/Issues:

**A. Section 1.1 of the Review Team's remit states that the hacked e-mail exchanges and "other relevant e-mail exchanges" will be examined. Does this mean that all remaining, unreleased e-mail exchanges on the subject EAU server will be examined?**

**B. Will the remaining, unreleased e-mail exchanges on the subject EAU server be made available for public scrutiny?**

**C. The note accompanying the original release of the hacked emails cited above indicates that only a random selection of hacked e-mails was released on the Internet. If the Review Team completes its study and does not review the remaining, unreleased e-mail exchanges or recommends that the latter not be made available to the public, what are the potential ramifications should the hacker(s) subsequently decide to post the remaining unreleased e-mails on the Internet?**

Respectfully submitted,

Dr. David Lehmillier