

Sir Russell Muir

Dear Sir,

I find it deeply disquieting that you have abandoned your earlier undertaking to assemble an unbiased panel to conduct this review.

"None have any links to the Climatic Research Unit, or the United Nations' Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). None have any links to the Climatic Research Unit, or the United Nations' Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)."

Professor Geoffrey Boulton has been strongly linked to the IPCC as recently as 2008 and has past history with the CRU. He cannot be said to have no links to the CRU or the IPCC which was to have been a criteria for choice of panel members.

"As others have pointed out, it would be impossible to find somebody with the qualifications and experience we need who has not formed an opinion on climate change.

"I am completely confident that each member of the Review team has the integrity, the expertise, and the experience to complete our work impartially."

Considering the scope of the review it seems reasonable to suppose that there would be many scientists capable of examining the evidence without having been so heavily involved in the promotion of the AGW theory.

I do not share your confidence regarding the impartiality of this team and as you persist in supporting the inclusion of a highly biased individual I can have no confidence in your impartiality either.

If you are to include the blatantly biased Prof Boulton in the team then in fairness you should also include a prominent sceptic on the panel as a counter-balance. Currently, the review panel membership can only be perceived as heavily biased which will impact on the credibility of any findings of the panel.

Yours sincerely
Julie Grace