

ATTN SIR MUIR RUSSELL

Dear Sir

I sent the following email to Professor Liss when I believe I should have sent it to you. Now that it looks as if Professor Geoffrey Boulton may well resign I would urge you to include in your review 2 eminent scientists from the sceptical side of the argument. This should bring peace and hopefully a new objectivity to this whole subject and the possibility of finding the truth and consensus

Best Regards

Richard Calhoun.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Richard Calhoun**  
Date: Sat, Feb 13, 2010 at 1:16 PM  
Subject: Climate Change  
To: [p.liss](mailto:p.liss)

Dear Professor Liss,

I read the article in the Guardian today quoting statements you have made since the announcement of the inquiry into the CRU's work with interest. I have no axe to grind here, I have read articles etc from both sceptics and believers in man made global warming.

As I see it there is no argument as to whether the globe is warming, this will be determined by accurate, precise temperature readings from the same weather stations over a period of time. It is warming or not, fact!

However you were quoted as follows:

*"If you're on the climate sceptics side, you have to have really good evidence for your case because if you're wrong then the consequences for all of us and all our children and whoever comes after is hugely influenced."*

I would agree with your statement, but this statement applies to both sides of the argument, and presently there is great concern that the figures on the man made global warming argument may have been manipulated to further the case.

Many people are open to hearing both arguments, however we do not like denigration of one side of the other which has been rather to prevalent on your side.

I would respectfully suggest that your review would have a great deal more credibility if you were to appoint a well respected scientist from the sceptic side of the argument, so perhaps starting a cooperation between the two sides of the scientific community, in the hope of coming to agreement from both sides.

If I may quote you again " *because if you're wrong then the consequences for all of us and all our children and whoever comes after is hugely influenced.*"

A member of your review team resigned yesterday, perhaps this would be the opportunity to elect an eminent scientist from the other side.

--

Best Regards  
Richard Calhoun